

MODES OF ACTION OF ENTOMOPATHOGENIC FUNGI

Hacer Handan Altinok ^{1,*}, Mahmut Alper Altinok ¹, Abdurrahman Sami Koca ²

¹ Erciyes University, Faculty of Agriculture, Department of Plant Protection,
38039 Kayseri, Turkey

² Bolu Abant Izzet Baysal University, Faculty of Agricultural and Natural Science,
Department of Plant Protection, Bolu, Turkey



Abstract

Commercial formulations of entomopathogenic fungi are successfully applied as an alternative to chemical agents in control of agricultural pests. One of the major properties of these species is building resting spores under unfavorable environmental conditions and having facultative or saprophytic properties. Mitosporic fungi such as *Beauveria bassiana*, *Lecanicillium lecanii*, *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Isaria fumosorosea* are common species worldwide and capable of infect species from Lepidoptera, Hemiptera, Coleoptera and Diptera. Entomopathogenic fungi infests the host insects via digestion, respiration and through integument. In infestation from integument which is one of the most common infestation methods, fungi grows hyphae to penetrate epicuticle and progresses into hypodermis to achieve the infestation. Anamorphic fungi like *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* primarily propagates as blastospores rather than hyphal development and these blastospores invade the vital organs by dispersing across the insect body via circulation of hemolymph within body cavity and eventually result in death of insect by clogging the circulatory system. The fungus moves to facultative feeding phase after death of host and initiates hyphal development outwards the integument, builds massive amount of spores. Conidiospores found on conidiophores are utilized to establish new infestations. Some entomopathogenic fungi are capable of killing the host even faster by excreting some mycotoxins (like beauvericin, cyclodepsipeptide, destruxin and desmethyldestruxin) at earlier stages of the infestations. Toxicogenic fungi are able to kill the host earlier as compared to non-toxicogenic species. In this study, information on the mechanisms used by entomopathogenic fungi for infestation of their hosts are presented.

Keywords: Biological control, Fungi, Mycoinsecticide, Pest management

1. INTRODUCTION

Entomopathogenic fungi have important roles in the natural regulation of many insect pests and pest populations. Several species of entomopathogenic fungi are being produced commercially and used as biological control agents against many insect pests in many parts of the world (Sevim et al., 2015). The use of pesticides against pests, disease agents and weeds in agricultural lands are problematic, which causes deterioration of natural balance and environmental pollution. In addition, it directly and indirectly affects human health negatively (Eken and Demirci, 1997). When all these issues are considered, the importance of alternative control methods and integrated management methods draw the attention (Erkılıç and Uygun, 1993).

Integrated and biological control methods emerged as an alternative to chemical control (Bora, 2002). Research on microbial control within biological and integrated control methods has started to increase (Eken and Demirci, 1997; Milner, 2000; Rumbos and Athanassiou, 2017). Today, many of

the fumigants used in control of storage pests are either banned or restricted. Entomopathogenic fungi from *Lecanicillium*, *Isaria* and *Beauveria* genus are reported as effective to adults of storage pests such as *Acanthoscelides obtectus* (Ondráčková, 2015). Mostly, biological control is used with the aid of various organisms or their toxic metabolites to prevent the activity of pests, disease agents and weeds in cultivated plants (Isaac, 1992). Some entomopathogenic fungi are able to kill the host even faster by excreting some mycotoxins (like beauvericin, cyclodepsipeptide, destruxin and desmethyldestruxin) at earlier stages of the infestations. Toxicogenic fungi are able to kill the host earlier as compared to non-toxicogenic species (Wang et al., 2018).

Entomopathogens are called as organisms that cause disease in insects. The use of microorganisms such as fungi, bacteria, viruses, rickettsia and nematodes living on harmful insects to kill them is known as microbial control (Öncüer, 1995). Entomopathogenic fungi are biological control agents. They play an important role in suppressing many pest populations (Roy et al., 2006) and used successfully in many phytophagous Arthropods in nature (epizootic) or in laboratories. Many studies have been carried out on microbial control using entomopathogenic microorganisms with biological control methods against these phytophagous species. (Alay, 1965; Zare and Gams, 2001; Kim, 2007; Demirci et al., 2008; Gurulingappa et al., 2010; Xie et al., 2010). There are more than 500 species of fungi that can infect insects (Roberts, 1981; Hall and Papierok, 1982; Zimmermann, 1986; Erkiş and Uygun, 1993; Kılıç and Yıldırım, 2008). Entomopathogenic fungi have been identified in various parts of the world and they create an effective suppression on natural insect populations (Tanada and Kaya, 1993; McCoy et al., 1988). Some fungi species are used successfully in the biological control of various pests, disease agents and weeds in the world (Eken and Demirci, 1997).

The aim of the present review is to summarize infection mechanism of some entomopathogenic fungi and use of these fungi as mycoinsecticides.

2. ENTOMOPATHOGENIC FUNGI AND THEIR ACTION MECHANISM

The entomopathogenic fungi are used in microbial control to prevent arthropod species in cultivated lands. These fungi take place in Ascomycota, Basidiomycota, Entomophthoromycotina, Blastocladales, Kickxellomycotina, Microsporidia and Neocallimastigomycota subdivisions (Stock et al., 2009). Table 1 shows some licensed fungus content widely used as microbial insecticides worldwide (Milner, 2000). Table 2 shows the common fungal insecticides in Turkey.

Table 1. Some common fungus-containing microbial insecticides commonly used worldwide

Fungi species	Effective Host	Commercial Name
<i>Beauveria bassiana</i> (Bals. Criv) Vuill.	Whiteflies, aphids, thrips	Mycotrol
<i>B. bassiana</i>	Sucking insects	Naturalis
<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i> (Metchnikoff) Sorokin	Termites	BioBlast
<i>Lecanicillium lecanii</i> R. Zare & W. Gams	Aphids	Vertalec
<i>L. lecanii</i>	Whiteflies	Mycotal
<i>Paecilomyces fumosoroseus</i> (Wize) A.H.S.Br & G.Sm.	Whiteflies, thrips	PFR-97
<i>B. brongniartii</i>	Manas larvae	Engerlingpilz
<i>M. anisopliae</i>	Grasshoppers	Green Muscle
<i>B. bassiana</i>	Manas larvae	Betel

Table 2. Common fungal insecticide in Turkey

Fungi species	Effective Host	Commercial Name
<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i>	Whiteflies, thrips, aphids and caterpillars	MET52 EC
<i>Metarhizium anisopliae</i>	Whiteflies, thrips, aphids and caterpillars	MET52 G

Entomopathogenic fungi enter through the cuticle directly (Sevim et al., 2015). This process occurs partly physically and enzymatically (Erkiliç and Uygun, 1993; Clarkson and Chamley, 1996). The action mechanisms of entomopathogenic fungi; firstly, the fungus spores settle on the insect cuticle, then the spores germinate and enter the cuticle by forming appressorium. Hyphae develop in hypodermis and they continue to multiply in insect body and blood cells and cause the death of the insect. Permanent sexual and asexual periods occur with asexual spores that can spread by saprophytic development on these dead individuals (Glare and Milner, 1991).

One of the most studied subjects about entomopathogenic mechanism is toxin secretion of fungi. For example, *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* secrete toxin in artificial environments. These substances can cause insect death even before spread and form spores in tissue of parasitic fungus. In most cases, the digestion of fungal propagules can cause death due to toxic effect rather than mycosis (Charnley, 2003). One of the most important features of insect is parasitic fungi forms resistant to environmental conditions and they have saprophytic properties. Therefore, they can be isolated from soil and organic wastes and increase the chance of using as biological agents (Erkiliç and Uygun, 1993).

3. INFECTION FORMS ON INSECTS OF ENTOMOPATHOGENIC FUNGUS

Entomopathogenic fungi have wide range of morphologically, phylogenetically, and ecologically diverse fungal species and exhibit phylogenetic diversity that reproduce via sexual or asexual spores, or both. Entomopathogenic fungi infect host insects by digestion, respiration, and particularly through the skin. Mouth parts have great importance in infection by digestion. For example, while insecticides cannot be infected by artificial infection of *Beauveria tenella* (Delacr.) to the intestines of *Melolontha melolontha* L. larvae, the fungus can be produced by oral control (Ferron, 1978; Sevim et al., 2015).

It is known that enzymes capable of degrading the chitin-protein composition in insect skin have an important role in transdermal infection (Clarkson and Chamley, 1996). After the entomopathogenic fungus spore adheres to the skin of the insect, if the climatic conditions are favorable, it forms the germination nail and penetrates the epicuticula with the help of oil and protein degrading enzymes and reaches hypodermis. Then, these hyphae multiply and spread in the body cavity. Micelles filling the body cavity kill the insect. The fungus forms chlamydospores and keep alive in the dead insect body. These chlamydospores germinate under appropriate conditions to form conidiophores on the skin of the dead insect. The spores on conidiophores are also ready for new infections (Ferron, 1978; Shah and Pell, 2003; Goettel et al., 2005).

The fungus should reach the hypodermis in order to be successful in transdermal infection. This is very important because of molting in insects. Especially, it gets more important for insects that molting at frequent and short periods of time. If the insect molt before the fungal infection reaches to the hypodermis, it can rescue from the infection. But if the infection reaches to the hypodermis, the insect cannot rescue itself from the infection (Öncüer, 1984).

Entomopathogenic fungi-based biopesticides including *Beauveria bassiana* and *Metarhizium anisopliae* are the most frequently used entomopathogenic fungi worldwide (Faria and Wraight, 2007).

4. IMPORTANT ENTOMOPATHOGENIC FUNGUS SPECIES

Beauveria bassiana

Beauveria bassiana (Balsamo) Vuillemin (Hypocreales: Cordycipitaceae) is the best known as an insect pathogen. The teleomorph name has been *Cordyceps bassiana*. *Beauveria bassiana* known as “white muskadin” causes to disease in insects. This fungus produced aerial conidia, single-cell blastospores by germination when they come into contact with the upper skin layer of insects and penetrate directly into the bodies of their hosts from the upper skin (Kim et al., 2010). The fungus proliferates rapidly in the body by producing toxins. Therefore, unlike bacterial and viral pathogens of insects, just a contact is sufficient for infection of *B. bassiana* and other fungus pathogens. When fungus kills its host, it evolves outward by covering the insect with a white layer of mildew. This mildew produces millions of new infective spores that released into the environment. *Beauveria bassiana* is widely distributed in nature and has a potential to control with a broad host range of approximately 700 insect species used for management of many crop insect pests. This fungus is applied as conidial sprays against insects. *B. bassiana* is used as a control agent in the field and laboratory against a large number of pests such as whiteflies, aphids. In addition, *B. bassiana* does not harm a large number of non-target organisms (Irigaray et al., 2003). Entomopathogenic fungi have a wide host range than the other biological control agents. They are known to infect Lepidoptera, Hemiptera, Coleoptera and Diptera species, and *B. bassiana*, *M. anisopliae* and *L. lecanii* are widely used worldwide (Deacon, 1983). One of the most controversial issues about entomopathogenic fungi is the secretion of toxins from these organisms. It was reported that *B. bassiana* was produced locally in China and used against *Ostrinia nubilalis* and *Dendrolimus* spp. (Erkılıç and Uygun, 1993). *B. bassiana* can be successfully used against *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* in Turkey (Çam et al., 2002).

Entomopathogenic fungi and pesticides can be used in integrated pest management (IPM) programs considering the fungus as an important pest control agent. *Beauveria bassiana* is easily used together with other chemical insecticides (Chloronicotiyyl, Imidacloprid) and provides a synergistic effect in control of *Bemisia tabaci*. However, it should never be used together with commercially available fungicides (Maneb, Mancozeb, Thiophanatemethyl), because these commercial fungicides are the chemicals that inhibit the growth of mycelium of *B. bassiana* (Hoddle, 1999).

Anamorphic fungi such as *B. bassiana* and *M. anisopliae* are generally developed as biological control agents applied together against pest populations. These fungi are less likely to multiply in the biotic environment and remain in nature during their application. Therefore, studies on these fungi are related to biopesticide aspects rather than their basic ecology. Anamorphic entomopathogenic fungi naturally spread extensively, particularly in soil. However, less information is known about their feeding behavior, population structure, factors affecting their distribution, and the variation of virulence-related characters (Chandler, 2005).

Metarhizium anisopliae

Metarhizium anisopliae (Metsch) Sorok (Ascomycota: Clavicipitaceae) usually multiply in the form of blastospores or hyphae structures in their host, similar to yeast and spread to the body cavity with blood fluid movement in the insect body. *M. anisopliae* is a mitosporic fungus with asexual reproduction. The spores of these fungi penetrate into the insect body by contact and kill the

host. They have cylindrical conidia produced and subcylindrical phialides. The disease caused by the fungus is known as green muscardine disease because of the green colour of its spores (Driver et al., 2000). It is a biological agent for use in greenhouses where bumblebees and natural enemies are present. The effectiveness of *M. anisopliae* against flower thrips (*Frankliniella occidentalis* Perg.), which is an important pest in pepper cultivation, has been investigated and found as effective as the licensed fungicides (Dura, 2010). Due to the biological origin of contact-effective *M. anisopliae*, it has no side effects on both the environment and humans.

Entomopathogenic fungi are able to colonize in plant roots as symptomless endophytes. *M. anisopliae* is both entomopathogen and endophyte in soil, insects and they can colonize in roots of a variety of plants resulting in increased plant growth and tolerance against pests and diseases (Sasan and Bidochka, 2012).

Lecanicillium lecanii

L. lecanii is an entomopathogenic fungus species, that was previously widely known as *Verticillium lecanii*. The spores of the *Lecanicillium lecanii* germinate and produce hyphae on insects and penetrate into the body cavity where they rapidly develop tissue destruction. The fungus entering the insect forms blastospores. Then the fungi invasion on the insect cuticle occurs and destroy the insects within 7-10 days. Dead insects have white fungal hairs (cottony appearance, ranging from white to pale yellow). When examined under a microscope, the mycelium of the fungus appears as bright white strands (Anonymous, 2018a). *L. lecanii* is the most widely used as a commercial agent. The limitation factor of the use of these preparations depends on high levels of moisture for a long time to improve their development and effectiveness. Vertalec and Mycotal are produced from blastospores of *L. lecanii* which a preparation in wettable powder (WP) formulation. Today, Vertalec is used against aphids and Mycotal is used against whiteflies as successfully in greenhouses in western European countries. It is also stated that some strains of *L. lecanii* can be used against thrips and crustaceans in greenhouses (Hall, 1985).

Studies have been carried out for the microbial control of the species in the Hyphomycetes group due to less problems in production and application of entomopathogenic fungi. As a result of these studies, preparations containing isolates of some species have reached commercially available stages (Butt et al., 1999). Entomopathogenic fungi were affected by environmental conditions during germination and penetration stages after application and limited their usage possibilities. However, advances in formulation showed that these limitations may also be overcome. Also, shape design type and setting of application period may be useful to overcome these limitations (Butt et al., 1999).

Isaria fumosorosea

Isaria fumosorosea is a common entomopathogenic fungus, formerly known as *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus*. The blastospores of *I. fumosorosea* grow when they contact with the upper skin layer of insects and thrive penetrating directly the bodies of their hosts. They completely cover the body of the pest, and finally kill the hosts (Anonymous, 2018a). *I. fumosorosea* has wide tolerance range of temperature and rapidly develop. *I. fumosorosea* has been isolated from various insect orders and soil in many regions of the world (Hummer, 1992; Smith, 1993). It has been reported to spread rapidly both nature and greenhouse conditions in 48 insect species belonging to nine insect orders.

It was reported that *I. fumosorosea* caused death of the tobacco whitefly (*Bemisia tabaci*) in nymph and adult stage both in field and greenhouses conditions. It also caused death of the greenhouse whitefly (*Trialeurodes vaporariorum*) in greenhouse conditions (Lacey et al., 1996).

The studies show that that *I. fumosorosea* isolates have the potential in the control of greenhouse whitefly in tomatoes and the temperature of greenhouse does not restrict the growth of fungi (Gökçe and Er, 2002).

Purpureocillium lilacinum

P. lilacinum (syn: *Paecilomyces lilacinus*) has a wide habitat, including cultivated or uncultivated soils, forests, grasslands and deserts. This species can develop between 8-38°C, while the optimal temperature is 26-30°C (Anonymous, 2018b). *P. lilacinum* conidiophores form a dense mycelium. Spores germinate when there is optimal moisture and nutrients. The vegetative hyphae are 2.5-4.0 microns. Conidiophores are 400-600 microns (Anonymous, 2018b). *P. lilacinum* was firstly found on *Meloidogyne incognita* eggs in Peru. This fungus is a facultative parasite of *M. incognita* eggs and it can also infect the other *Meloidogyne* spp. species (Whitehead, 1998). *P. lilacinum* infect *M. incognita* eggs and reduce larvae emerging from these eggs. Infection occurs on *Meloidogyne* eggs by producing enzymes that break down the eggshell by the fungus. Serine protease enzymes secreted by the fungus causes structural changes in the eggshell of the nematode. About 251 isolates of *P. lilacinum* restricted the development of *Meloidogyne javanica* eggs. Thus, the number of larvae hatched decreased and a large part of larvae hatched died (Whitehead, 1998).

5. CONCLUSIONS

Chemical control is more prominent to protect against harmful insects in agricultural areas. There are many side effects of chemical control, increasing environmental toxicity and resistance to pest. In addition, chemicals cause damage to beneficial organisms, causing a loss of natural balance. In this review, entomopathogenic fungi and other studies about the issue were compiled as alternative to chemical control, environmentally friendly and not disturbing the natural balance. However, it was seen that the studies on this issue are not sufficient in Turkey. These studies should be increased and the use of entomopathogenic fungi in the practice of biopreparation should be expanded in Turkey. The information presented in this review will be the source of the studies about entomopathogens in Turkey.

7. REFERENCES

- Alay, K. (1965). Researches on biological control possibilities against *Pulvinaria floccifera* using *Verticillium lecanii* (*Pulvinaria floccifera*'ya karşı *Verticillium lecanii* ile biyolojik savaş imkânları üzerinde araştırmalar). *Bit Kor Bü.* 5, 113–120.
- Anonymous (2018a). <http://www.agrobestgrup.com/ilac.php?dilkod=&ilacid=163&kat=> (Accession date: 30.05.2018).
- Anonymous (2018b). http://eol.org/data_objects/13605842 (Accession date: 19.05.2018).
- Butt, T.M., Harris, J.G., Powell, K.A. (1999). Microbial biopesticides: the European scene. In F.R. Hall, J.J. Menn, (Eds.), *Biopesticides: Use and Delivery*. Humana Press, Totowa, NJ, pp. 23–44.
- Chandler, D. (2005). Understanding the evolution and function of entomopathogenic fungi. *Evolution of Fungal Virulence*, 1-9 pp.
- Charnley, A.K. (2003). Fungal pathogens of insects: cuticle degrading enzymes and toxins. *Adv Bot Res*, 40, 241–321.
- Clarkson, J.M., Chamley, A.K. (1996). New insights into the mechanisms of fungal pathogenesis in insects. *Trends Microbiol*, 4-5.
- Çam, H., Gökçe, A., Yanar, Y., Kadioğlu, İ. (2002). Effects of entomopathogenic fungi *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill. on potato beetle *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say. (Entomopatogen fungus *Beauveria bassiana* (Bals.) Vuill.'nın patates böceği *Leptinotarsa decemlineata* Say. üzerindeki etkisi), 5th Turkish Congress of Biological Control (*Türkiye 5. Biyolojik Mücadele Kongresi*), Erzurum, 4-7 Eylül, 2002.
- Demirci, F., Ülgentürk S., Kaydan, M.B. (2008). Effects of entomopathogenic *Paecilomyces farinosus* against citrus mealybug and vine mealybug *Planococcus ficus* and interactions with some fungicides (Entomopatogen *Paecilomyces farinosus*'un turunçgil unlubiti *Planococcus citri* ve bağ unlubiti *Planococcus ficus* üzerine

- etkinliği ve bazı fungusitlerle etkileşimleri). TUBITAK Project Final Report (Tübitak Proje Sonuç Raporu), Proje No: 104O200, Ankara, 86 s.
- Driver, F., Milner, R.J., Trueman, W.H.A. (2000). A taxonomic revision of *Metarhizium* based on sequence analysis of ribosomal DNA. *Mycol Res*, 104, 135–151.
- Dura, S. (2010). Mikoriza ve tarımdaki geleceği. Bioglobal Biobülten Sayı:2, s. 10.
- Eken, C., Demirci, E. (1997). Use of fungi in biological control (Fungusların biyolojik mücadelede kullanımı). *Atatürk Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi*, 28, 138–152.
- Erkiliç, L., Uygun, N. (1993). Possible uses of entomopathogenic fungi in biological control (Entomopatogen fungusların biyolojik mücadelede kullanılma olanakları). *Türkiye Entomoloji Dergisi*, 17, 117–128.
- Faria, M.R., Wraight, S.P. (2007). Mycoinsecticides and mycoacaricides: a comprehensive list with worldwide coverage and international classification of formulation types. *Biol Control*, 43, 237–256.
- Ferron, P. (1978). Biological control of insect pests by entomogenous fungi, *Annu Rev Entomol*, 23, 409–442.
- Glare, T. R., Milner, R. J. (1991). Ecology of entomopathogenic fungi. In D.K. Arora, L. Ajello, K.G. Mukerji (eds.). *Handbook of Applied Mycology, Vol. 2: Humans, Animals, and Insects*, New York: Marcel Dekker, Inc, 547–612.
- Goettel, M.S., Eilenberg, J., Glare, T. (2005). Entomopathogenic fungi and their role in regulation of insect populations. In L.I. Gilbert, K. Iatrou, S.S. Gill, (eds), *Comprehensive Molecular Insect Science*. s. 361-405, Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Gökçe, A., Er, M.K. (2002). Biological control of greenhouse whitefly, *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* by using entomopathogenic fungus *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* (Wize) Brown&Smith, and effects of temperature on growth of the fungus (Entomopatogenik fungus *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* (Wize) Brown&Smith kullanılarak sera beyaz sineğinin, *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* Westwood, biyolojik mücadelesi ve sıcaklığın fungusun büyümesine etkisi). Türkiye 5. Biyolojik Mücadele Kongresi, 4-7 Eylül, Erzurum, Turkey.
- Gurulingappa, P., Sword, G. Murdoch G., McGee, P.A. (2010). Colonization of crop plants by fungal entomopathogens and their effects on two insect pests when in planta. *Biol Control*, 55, 34–41.
- Hall, R.A. (1985). Aphid control by fungi. (Ed. N.W. Hussey and N. Scopes. Biological Pest Control. The Glasshouse Experience) pp. 181-141, Blandford Press, Poole, Dorset UK.
- Hall, R.A., Papierok, B. (1982). Fungi as biological control agents of arthropods of agricultural and medical importance. In R.M., Anderson, E.U., Canning (eds.), *Parasitology*. Cambridge University, Great Britain. 84, pp. 205-240.
- Hoddle, M., S., (1999). The biology and management of silverleaf whitefly, *Bemisia argentifolii* Bellows and Perring (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) on greenhouse grown ornamentals. Department of Entomology, University of California, Riverside, CA 92521 USA
https://warwick.ac.uk/fac/sci/lifesci/research/entomopathogenicfungi/understanding_the_evolution_and_function_of_entomopathogenic_fungi.pdf. (Accession date: 11.02.2019).
- Hummer, R.A. (1992). Collection of entomopathogenic fungal cultures, USDA, Ithaca, New York.
- Irigaray, F.J.S.D.C., Marco-Mancebón, V., Pérez-Moreno, I. (2003). The entomopathogenic fungus *Beauveria bassiana* and its compatibility with triflumuron: effects on the twospotted spider mite *Tetranychus urticae*. *Biol Control*, 26(2), 168–173.
- Isaac, S. (1992). Fungal-plant interactions. Chapman and Hall, London, 418 pp.
- Kılıç E., Yıldırım, E. (2008). The Use of Entomopathogen Fungi in Control of Whiteflies (Homoptera: Aleyrodidae) (Beyazsineklerin (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) mücadelesinde entomopatogenlerin kullanım imkanları). *Atatürk Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi*, 39, 249–254.
- Kim, J.J. (2007). Influence of *Lecanicillium attenuatum* on the development and reproduction of the cotton aphid, *Aphis gossypii*. *BioControl*, 52, 789–799.
- Kim, J.S., Roh, J.Y. Choi, J.Y. Wang, Y. Shim, H.J., Je, Y.H. (2010). Correlation of the aphicidal activity of *Beauveria bassiana* SFB-205 supernatant with enzymes. *Fungal Biol*, 114, 120–128.
- Lacey, L., A., Fransen, J., J. ve Cairuthers, R. (1996). Global distribution of naturally occurring fungi of *Bemisia*, their biologies and use as biological control agents in *Bemisia* 1995: Taxonomy, Biology, Damage, Control and Management pp 401–433.
- McCoy, C.W., Samson, R.A., Boucias, D.G. (1988). Entomogenous fungi. In C.M. Ignoffo (ed) CRC Handbook of Natural Pesticides volume V. Microbial Insecticides part A Entomogenous Protozoa and Fungi. CRC pres, Inc. Florida, ABD, s. 151-235.
- Milner, R.J. (2000). Current status of *Metarhizium anisopliae* insecticide in Australia. *Biocontrol News & Info*, 21, 47–50.

- Ondráčková, E. (2015). The use of entomopathogenic fungi in biological control of pests. *Acta Fytotechn Zootechn*, 18, (Special Issue): 102–105.
- Öncüer, C. (1984). Entomopathogenic fungi in biological control against harmful insects (Zararlı böceklere karşı biyolojik savaşta entomopatojen funguslar). *Türkiye Bitki Koruma Dergisi*, 8, 177–189.
- Öncüer, C. (1995). Control strategies against agricultural pests, and pesticides (Tarımsal zararlılarla savaş yöntemleri ve ilaçları). Adnan Menderes Üniversitesi Yayınlar. Ziraat Fakültesi Bitki Koruma Bölümü, y. 41, s.54.
- Roberts, D.W. (1981). Toxins of entomopathogenic fungi. In H.D. Burgess (Ed), *Microbial Control of Pests and Plant Diseases 1970-1980*. Academic Press, London, s. 441-464.
- Roy, H.E., Steinkraus, D.C., Eilenberg, J., Hajek, A.E., Pell, J.K. (2006). Bizarre interactions and endgames: entomopathogenic fungi and their arthropod hosts. *Annu Rev Entomol*, 51, 331–57.
- Rumbos, C.I., Athanassiou, C.G. (2017). Use of entomopathogenic fungi for the control of stored- product insects: can fungi protect durable commodities. *J Pest Sci*, 90, 839-854.
- Sasan, R. K., Bidochka, M. J. (2012). The insect-pathogenic fungus *Metarhizium robertsii* (Clavicipitaceae) is also an endophyte that stimulates plant root development. *Am J Bot*, 99, 101–107.
- Sevim, A., Sevim, E., Demirbağ, Z. (2015). General biology of entomopathogenic fungi and their potential to control pest species in Turkey (Entomopatojenik fungusların genel biyolojileri ve Türkiye’de zararlı böceklerin mücadelesinde kullanılma potansiyelleri). *Erzincan Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi*, 8(1), 115–147.
- Shah, P.A., Pell, J.K. (2003). Entomopathogenic fungi as biological control agents. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*, 61, 413–423.
- Smith, P. (1993). Control of *Bemisia tabaci* and the potential of *Paecilomyces fumosoroseus* as a biopesticide. *Biocontrol News & Info*, 14, 71–78.
- Stock, S.P., Vandenburg, J., Glazer, I., Boemare, N. (2009). *Insect Pathogens Molecular Approaches and Techniques*, CAB International; 417pp.
- Tanada, Y., Kaya, H.K. (1993). *Insect pathology*. Academic Press, Inc. California, ABD, s.17.
- Wang, X., Gong, X., Li, P., Lai, D., Zhou, L. (2018). Structural Diversity and Biological Activities of Cyclic Depsipeptides from Fungi. *Molecules*, 23,169.
- Whitehead, A.G. (1998). *Plant nematode control*. CAB International, New York, USA. 209–236.
- Xie, Y., Liu, W., Xue, J., Peng, G., Han, Z., Zhang, Y. (2010). Integument of soft scale insects and the invasion of the pathogenic fungus *Lecanicillium lecanii*. *Entomol Hell*, 19, 66–75.
- Zare, R., Gams, W. (2001). A revision of *Verticillium* sect. Prostrata. III. Generic classification. *Nova Hedwigia*, 72, 329–337.